

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
AUSTIN DIVISION**

<b>IN RE:</b>	§	
<b>UPH HOLDINGS, INC.</b>	§	<b>CASE NO. 13-10570</b>
<b>PAC-WEST TELECOMM, INC.</b>	§	<b>CASE NO. 13-10571</b>
<b>TEX-LINK COMMUNICATIONS, INC.</b>	§	<b>CASE NO. 13-10572</b>
<b>UNIPOINT HOLDINGS, INC.</b>	§	<b>CASE NO. 13-10573</b>
<b>UNIPOINT ENHANCED SERVICES, INC.</b>	§	<b>CASE NO. 13-10574</b>
<b>UNIPOINT SERVICES, INC.</b>	§	<b>CASE NO. 13-10575</b>
<b>NWIRE, LLC</b>	§	<b>CASE NO. 13-10576</b>
<b>PEERING PARTNERS COMMUNICATIONS, LLC</b>	§	<b>CASE NO. 13-10577</b>
	§	
<b>DEBTORS.</b>	§	<b>CHAPTER 11</b>
	§	
<b>EIN: 45-1144038; 68-0383568; 74-2729541; 20-3399903; 74-3023729; 38-3659257; 37-1441383; 27-2200110; 27-4254637</b>	§	
	§	
<b>6500 RIVER PL. BLVD., BLDG. 2, # 200 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78730</b>	§	<b>JOINTLY ADMINISTERED UNDER CASE NO. 13-10570</b>

**POST-CONFIRMATION LITIGATION STATUS REPORT**

COMES NOW Lowell Feldman, Liquidating Trustee of the UPH Liquidating Trust (“Trustee”), and submits his Post-Confirmation Litigation Status Report, and would show the Court as follows:

1. The following chart lists adversary proceedings filed by the above-referenced Debtors or the Trustee that have not yet been resolved and their status as of the date of filing this Status Report.

	ADV. PRO. NO.	STYLE OF CASE	STATUS
1.	13-01096	<i>Debtors vs. Sprint Nextel Corporation</i>	Ongoing.
2.	15-1031	<i>Feldman v. Broadvox</i>	Ongoing

2. The following adversaries are resolved:

	<b>ADV. PRO. NO.</b>	<b>STYLE OF CASE</b>	<b>STATUS</b>
1.	13-01135	<i>Debtors vs. Bharti Airtel (USA) Limited</i>	Closed.
2.	13-01137	<i>Debtors vs. STI Telecom, Inc.</i>	Closed.
3.	13-01141	<i>Debtors vs. JES, Inc.</i>	Closed.
4.	13-01142	<i>Debtors vs. Primus Telecommunications, Inc.</i>	Closed.
5.	13-01144	<i>Debtors vs. Wirestar, Inc.</i>	Closed.
6.	13-01147	<i>Debtors vs. ComputerTel, Inc.</i>	Closed.
7.	14-01052	<i>Debtors vs. Rackspace US, Inc.</i>	Closed.
8.	13-01163	<i>Debtors vs. Arent Fox LLP</i>	Closed.
9.	13-01165	<i>Debtors vs. Boxer F2, L.P.</i>	Closed.
10.	13-01167	<i>Debtors vs. Grande Communications, Inc.</i>	Closed.
11.	13-01169	<i>Debtors vs. Neustar, Inc.</i>	Closed.
12.	13-01170	<i>Debtors vs. Nixon Peabody LLP</i>	Closed.
13.	13-01172	<i>Debtors vs. Pacific Gas and Electric Company</i>	Closed.
14.	13-01173	<i>Debtors vs. Pilot Communications</i>	Closed.
15.	13-01175	<i>Debtors vs. Sidera Networks, LLC</i>	Closed.
16.	13-01176	<i>Debtors vs. Taylor Street Venture, LP</i>	Closed.

	<b>ADV. PRO. NO.</b>	<b>STYLE OF CASE</b>	<b>STATUS</b>
17.	14-01033	<i>Debtors vs. IP Global, LLC</i>	Closed.
18.	14-01036	<i>Debtors vs. Reallinx, Inc.</i>	Closed.
19.	14-01040	<i>Debtors vs. Therapeutic Research Center, LLC</i>	Closed.
20.	13-0177	<i>Debtors vs. Telepacific Communications Corporation</i>	Closed.
21.	14-01049	<i>Debtors vs. NFS Leasing, Inc.</i>	Closed.
22.	13-01174	<i>Debtors vs. RiverRock Systems, Ltd.</i>	Closed.
23.	14-01001	<i>Debtors vs. Cogent Communications, Inc.</i>	Closed.
24.	14-01053	<i>Debtors vs. SinglePipe, LLC</i>	Closed.
25.	13-01166	<i>Debtors vs. Endstream Communications, LLC</i>	Closed.
26.	14-01037	<i>Debtors vs. Reliance Globalcom Services, Inc.</i>	Closed.
27.	13-01139	<i>Debtors vs. IBDC (USA), Inc.</i>	Closed.
28.	13-01148	<i>Debtors vs. Great Lake Property, LLC</i>	Closed.
29.	14-01027	<i>Debtors vs. Functional IT, LLC</i>	Closed.
30.	14-01028	<i>Debtors vs. Global Technology Solutions, Inc.</i>	Closed.
31.	14-01029	<i>Debtors vs. Global Telecom Solutions, LLC</i>	Closed.
32.	14-01032	<i>Debtors vs. Internet Direct Incorporated</i>	Closed.

	<b>ADV. PRO. NO.</b>	<b>STYLE OF CASE</b>	<b>STATUS</b>
33.	14-01035	<i>Debtors vs. Next Communications, Inc.</i>	Closed.
34.	14-01039	<i>Debtors vs. TCast Communications, Inc.</i>	Closed.
35.	13-01143	<i>Debtors vs. Teliix, Inc.</i>	Closed.
36.	13-01178	<i>Debtors vs. Tobin Law Group, a Professional Corporation</i>	Closed.
37.	13-01179	<i>Debtors vs. Zayo Group, LLC</i>	Closed.
38.	13-01149	<i>Debtors vs. TelePoint Enterprise Services Corp.</i>	Closed.
39.	13-01162	<i>Debtors vs. Access Cost Engineering Systems, LLC</i>	Closed.
40.	13-01164	<i>Debtors vs. Bandwidth.com, Inc.</i>	Closed.
41.	13-01182	<i>Debtors vs. Beyond the Box, LLC</i>	Closed.
42.	14-01026	<i>Debtors vs. Red Cloud, Inc.</i>	Closed.
43.	13-01171	<i>Debtors vs. Nova Management, Inc.</i>	Closed.
44.	14-01023	<i>Debtors vs. 360Freephone.com Corp.</i>	Closed.
45.	14-01030	<i>Debtors vs. Global Voicecom Inc.</i>	Closed.
46.	14-01031	<i>Debtors vs. Infinet Inc.</i>	Closed.
47.	14-01034	<i>Debtors vs. Net D Consulting, Inc.</i>	Closed.
48.	14-01042	<i>Debtors vs. Free Conferencing Corporation,</i>	Closed.

	<b>ADV. PRO. NO.</b>	<b>STYLE OF CASE</b>	<b>STATUS</b>
		<i>Individually</i>	
49.	14-01044	<i>Debtors vs. Groove Trading Limited</i>	Closed.
50.	14-01045	<i>Debtors vs. Omely Telecom Corporation</i>	Closed.
51.	14-01043	<i>Debtors vs. Call In Ltd.</i>	Closed.
52.	13-01140	<i>Debtors vs. InterMetro Communications, Inc.</i>	Closed.
53.	13-01168	<i>Debtors vs. National Accounts, Inc.</i>	Closed.
54.	14-01050	<i>Debtors vs. Earthlink Carrier, LLC</i>	Closed.
55.	14-01055	<i>Debtors vs. The United States Trustee</i>	Closed.
56.	14-01060	<i>Debtors vs. Level 3 Communications</i>	Closed.
57.	13-01136	<i>Debtors vs. Business Telecommunications Services, Inc.</i>	Closed.
58.	14-01024	<i>Debtors vs. Big B, LLC</i>	Closed.
59.	14-01047	<i>Debtors vs. Integra Telecom, Inc.</i>	Closed.
60.	14-01054	<i>Debtors vs. Travelers Indemnity Company</i>	Closed.
61.	14-01046	<i>Debtors vs. Winnington Networks Communications, Ltd.</i>	Closed.
62.	14-01051	<i>Debtors vs. Phonetime Inc.</i>	Closed.
63.	13-01102	<i>Debtors vs. Leap Wireless International, Inc.</i>	Closed.

	<b>ADV. PRO. NO.</b>	<b>STYLE OF CASE</b>	<b>STATUS</b>
64.	13-01138	<i>Debtors vs. GeoTel Communications Services, Inc.</i>	Closed.
65.	14-01048	<i>Debtors vs. Macias, Gini &amp; O'Connell LLP</i>	Closed.
66.	14-01038	<i>Debtors vs. Tandem Transit LLC</i>	Closed.
67.	13-01094	<i>Debtors vs. T-Mobile USA, Inc.</i>	Settled; Abated.
68.	14-01041	<i>Debtors vs. Velocity VoIP, LLC</i>	Agreed Judgment entered on March 31, 2015 [Adv. Dckt. No. 34]
69.	14-01025	<i>Debtors vs. Robert Dennis Keim, Individually</i>	Settled.

3. At this time, the Trustee does not anticipate filing additional adversaries.

4. The Debtors or Trustee have filed the following objections to administrative claims in the main case:

	<b>OBJECTION</b>	<b>RESPONSE</b>	<b>STATUS</b>
1.	<i>Debtors' Objection to State Board of Equalization Proof of Claim No. 136-2 [Dckt. No. 881]</i>	State Board of Equalization's Response to Debtor's Objection [Dckt. No. 885]	Order Sustaining Debtors' Objection to SBE Claim entered June 4 [Dckt. No. 939]
2.	<i>Debtors' Objection to Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts Claim Nos. 19 and 22 [Dckt. No. 826]</i>	No response filed; Withdrawal of Proof of Claim for Administrative Expense and Request for Payment filed April 2, 2014 [Dckt. Nos. 10 & 11, in Case No. 13-10572]	Order Sustaining Objection to Claim of Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts Claim Nos. 19 and 20 [Dckt. No. 892] entered May 1, 2014.

	<b>OBJECTION</b>	<b>RESPONSE</b>	<b>STATUS</b>
3.	<i>Debtors' Objection to Application for Payment of Administrative Expenses for Post-Petition Services Provided to the Debtors by Transaction Services, Inc. from October 2013 Through January 2014 [Dckt. No. 822]</i>	Reply to Debtors' Objection to Application for Payment of Administrative Expenses for Post-Petition Services [Dckt. No. 843]	Resolved.
4.	<i>Debtors' Objection to Application for Immediate Payment of Administrative Expense Claim by Cogent Communications, Inc. [Dckt. No. 824]</i>	No response filed; order uploaded by Debtors.	Resolved.
5.	<i>Debtors' Objection to Request and Claim of RiverRock Systems, Ltd. for Payment of Administrative Expense Claim [Dckt. No. 773]</i>		Resolved.
6.	<i>Debtors' Opposition to Motion by the Universal Service Administrative Company for Entry of an Order (I) Allowing and Directing the Immediate Payment of Universal Service Fees Accrued and Accruing Post-Petition and (II) Compelling Compliance with Payment and Reporting Requirements</i>		Resolved.
7.	<i>Trustee's Objection to Cogent's Second Application for Allowance and Immediate Payment of Administrative Expense Claim (for September 30, 2013 through June 30, 2014</i>		Resolved.

	<b>OBJECTION</b>	<b>RESPONSE</b>	<b>STATUS</b>
	<i>Time Period)</i>		

Dated: April 2, 2015.

Respectfully submitted,

JACKSON WALKER L.L.P.  
100 Congress Ave., Suite 1100  
Austin, Texas 78701  
(512) 236-2000  
(512) 236-2002 - FAX

By: /s/ Jennifer F. Wertz

Patricia B. Tomasco  
State Bar No. 01797600  
(512) 236-2076 – Direct Phone  
(512) 691-4438 – Direct Fax  
Email address: [ptomasco@jw.com](mailto:ptomasco@jw.com)

Jennifer F. Wertz  
State Bar No. 24072822  
(512) 236-2247 – Direct Phone  
(512) 391-2147 – Direct Fax  
Email address: [jwertz@jw.com](mailto:jwertz@jw.com)

**COUNSEL FOR THE LIQUIDATING  
TRUSTEE OF THE UPH  
LIQUIDATING TRUST**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 2nd day of April 2015, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served via the Court's CM/ECF electronic notification system on all parties requesting notification through same.

*/s/ Jennifer F. Wertz* \_\_\_\_\_

Jennifer F. Wertz